

Conserve Southwest Utah

NEW MOJAVE DESERT TORTOISE STUDY



OVERVIEW

Photo by Brady Iverson



Dr. Michael W. Tuma recently published a new study entitled: "Mojave Desert **Tortoises in the Red Cliffs NCA and Upper Virgin River Recovery Unit: Population Trends, Threats to Persistence, and Conservation** Significance"

The study found that Mojave Desert tortoise populations in the Red Cliffs National Conservation Area are among the healthiest in their range. However, recent wildfires, along with other threats such as invasive plants, roads, OHV recreation, urbanization, and climate change, pose significant risks to these populations. Careful management and restoration efforts are essential to maintain these populations and support their dispersal to other areas.

LOCATION

- The Upper Virgin River Recovery Unit (UVRRU) includes designated Critical Habitat for the Mojave Desert tortoise in Washington County, Utah, and Mohave County, Arizona.
- It is the northeastern corner of the tortoise's range that spans westward into California.
- The UVRRU is one of five recovery units, all of which are deemed essential for the long-term viability of the Mojave Desert Tortoise.
- The Red Cliffs National Conservation Area and Red Cliffs Desert Reserve, which largely overlap one another, are both located within the UVRRU. The Red Cliffs Desert Reserve overlaps 45,311 acres of BLM-administered lands, 6,426 acres of State Trust Institutional Lands, 6,106 acres managed by the Utah Department of Natural Resources, and 2,981 acres of privately owned inholdings





MONITORING & POPULATION TRENDS

- Desert Tortoise population trends have been monitored since 1999 using distance sampling surveys conducted by the USFWS and Utah Department of Natural Resources.
- These surveys have determined that Mojave **Desert tortoise populations** in the UVRRU and especially the Red Cliffs Reserve are among the most robust throughout the range of the species.

Table 1. Adult tortoise density (tortoises/km²) estimates within tortoise conservation areas (TCAs) between 1999 and 2023.

TCA	1999 (a)	2000 (a)	2001 (a b)	2002 (b)	2003 (a.b)	2004 (a)	2005 (a)	2007 (a)	2008 (a)	2009 (a)	2010 (a)	2011 (a)	2012 (a)	2013 (a)	2014 (a)	2015 (c, d)	2016 (c)	2017 (d.e)	2018 (f)	2019 (d.g)	2020 (b)	2021 (i)	2023 (d)		
AG	(u)	(u)	(0,5)	(6)	(0,0)	11 4	13.4	65	 4 5	7.5	13.8	(u)	 6.0	7.3	8.4	10.3	85	9.4	76	7.0	71	39	(u)		
СК			10 1	77	4.0	49	6.0	4.3	4.0	7.0	3.7	39	3.9	7.0	0.4	10.0	0.0	4.3	7.0	1.8	4.6	2.6			
			7.2	7.7	6.2	6.7	10.2	2.0	4.2	0.4	4.2	4.0	0.0				17	4.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.0			
			15.7	37	0.5	8.2	13.5	6.2	6.6	9.4	6.9	6.8	0.0				5.5		6.0	2.8	4.0	5.3			
<u>т</u>			10.7	3.7	27	1.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.9	2.5	2.4				2.5	26	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0			
 рт			65	1.0	2.7	2.5	2.7	1.0	2.3		2.0	3.0	3.4				2.0	2.0		17	20				
			0.5	4.0	3.0	2.2	2.5	1.5	<u> </u>	4.3	2.0	<u> </u>	1.0				2.1	<u> </u>		1./	2.9	2.0			
						2.9	5.7	4.1	4.1	3.0	3.8	0.0	1.9				4.0	5.9				3.9			
EV				5 4		2.0	5.0	4.1	1.8	3.8	1.0	2.8	0.9			1.0	2.7	5.6	0.7	2.3					
<u>IV</u>			2.8	5.4		4.4	4.4	5.6	5.1	4.1	1.0	4.5	2.8			1.9			3.7	2.6		3.0			
BD			5.6				0.9	1.1	1.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	5.4	2.6			5.6	1.3		2.0					
CS			2.2	3.5	5.5	1.3	3.3	1.4	1.2	2.0	3.6	4.0	2.9				4.2		5.1	3.2					
GB			1.2		1.8	0.6	0.2	1.1		2.2	1.7	1.6	2.3	1.7				1.9	2.3			2.4			
MM			1.8		3.8	2.4	4.9	3.0	1.9	7.3	5.5	6.3	4.3				2.1		3.6			5.2			
RC	34.3	25.7	24.4		14.0		22.5	22.1		15.5		19.3		18.3		15.4		20.6		18.5			20.7		
FK			5.5	4.7	3.4	8.4	5.3	3.0	0.5	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.2		4.7	4.5		4.1		2.7	1.7				
OR			10.1	13.1	4.1	7.3	7.7	7.1	5.0	7.2	7.5	3.2	4.6		3.5			3.9	3.4	2.5		2.5			
SC			4.3	8.1	7.8	6.3	6.3	5.9	1.9	4.6	2.6	3.4	4.3		2.5	2.6	3.6	1.7		1.9					
Data s a) Allis b) USF	ources on and Mo WS (2009	cLuckie (2))	2018)	Colo	rado Dese	ert Recove	ery Unit																		
c) USFWS (2016)				AG	= Chocol	ate Moun	tain Air																		
a) UDNK (2024) e) USFWS (2018)					Gunnery Range CK = Chuckwalla				Eastern Moiave			Northeastern Mojave Recovery Unit													
f) USFWS (2019)					С	M = Chem	nehuevi	Recovery Unit			BD = Beaver Dam Slope								Western Mojave Recovery Unit						
g) USFWS (2020)					FE = Fenner				PV = Piute Valley			CS = Coyote Springs Valley							FK = Fremont-Kramer						
h) USF	WS (2022	a)		JT =	Joshua Tr	ee Natior	nal Park	EV =	Eldorado	o Valley	GB = Gold Butte-Pakoon				Upper	Upper Virgin River Recovery Unit				OR = Ord-Rodman					
I) USFV	vs (2022t	0)			PI =	Pinto Mo	untains	IV	= Ivanpal	n Valley		MM	= Mormo	n Mesa	RC = Red Cliffs Desert Reserve SC = Superior-Cronese										



Photo by Abbey Cottle

- The population of Mojave Desert tortoises in UVRRU & Red Cliffs Reserve per square kilometer (km2) is the most dense across the entire range – underscoring the importance of this population.
- However, the number of tortoises per kilometer in the Reserve declined approximately 40% between 1999 and 2023.
- An annual decline of -3.2% in the UVRRU & Red Cliffs Reserve was detected between 2004 and 2014 particularly evident between 2007 and 2013.
- Survey data from 2015 through 2023 appear to indicate a slight recovery, but not to a level that matches density observed pre-2007.
- Range-wide, there were an estimated 336,393 adult tortoises in 2004 and 212,343 in 2014, representing a decline of 124,050 (-37%) over this 10-year period.
- Within Red Cliffs Reserve, the estimated abundance of adult tortoises declined from an estimated 3,482 in 1999 to an estimated 2,425 in 2023, an estimated loss of 1,057 (-30%).

PV						2.9	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.6	3.8	6.6	1.9				4.0	5.9				3.9	
EV						2.6	5.0	4.1	1.8	3.8	1.0	2.8	0.9				2.7	5.6		2.3			
IV			2.8	5.4		4.4	4.4	5.6	5.1	4.1	1.0	4.5	2.8			1.9			3.7	2.6		3.0	
BD			5.6				0.9	1.1	1.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	5.4	2.6			5.6	1.3		2.0			
CS			2.2	3.5	5.5	1.3	3.3	1.4	1.2	2.0	3.6	4.0	2.9				4.2		5.1	3.2			
GB			1.2		1.8	0.6	0.2	1.1		2.2	1.7	1.6	2.3	1.7				1.9	2.3			2.4	
ММ			1.8		3.8	2.4	4.9	3.0	1.9	7.3	5.5	6.3	4.3				2.1		3.6			5.2	
RC	34.3	25.7	24.4		14.0		22.5	22.1		15.5		19.3		18.3		15.4		20.6		18.5			20.7
FK			5.5	4.7	3.4	8.4	5.3	3.0	0.5	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.2		4.7	4.5		4.1		2.7	1.7		
OR			10.1	13.1	4.1	7.3	7.7	7.1	5.0	7.2	7.5	3.2	4.6		3.5			3.9	3.4	2.5		2.5	
SC			4.3	8.1	7.8	6.3	6.3	5.9	1.9	4.6	2.6	3.4	4.3		2.5	2.6	3.6	1.7		1.9			
Data s	ources																						
a) Allison and McLuckie (2018)																							
b) USFWS (2009)				Color	ado Dese	rt Recove	ery Unit																
c) USFWS (2016)				AG	= Chocol	ate Moun	tain Air																
d) UDNR (2024) Gu					Gunnery	Range																	
e) USF	WS (2018)			0	CK = Chu	ckwalla	Eastern Mojave			Northeastern Mojave Recovery Unit												
f) USFWS (2019)					CI	4 = Chem	nehuevi	Recovery Unit			BD = Beaver Dam Slope								Western Mojave Recovery Unit				
g) USFWS (2020)						FE =	Fenner	PV = Piute Valley			CS = Coyote Springs Valley								FK = Fremont-Kramer				
h) USFWS (2022a)				JT =	Joshua Tr	ee Nation	al Park	EV = Eldorado Valley				GB = Gol	akoon	Upper Virgin River Recovery Unit				OR = Ord-Rodman					
i) USFV	NS (2022)	((PT =	Pinto Mor	untains	IV	= Ivanpah	Valley		RC = Red Cliffs Desert Reserve				SC = Superior-Cronese							

CURRENT STATUS OF MOJAVE DESERT TORTOISE POPULATIONS IN THE UVRRU AND RED **CLIFFS** RESERVE



The Red Cliffs Desert Reserve and the UVRRU tortoise populations and habitats are essential for the long-term survival of the Mojave desert tortoise for several reasons:

High Population Density:

The Red Cliffs Reserve has some of the highest densities of tortoises, making it a key source for spreading tortoises and their genes to nearby areas. This helps maintain healthy populations across the region and range.

Leading-Edge Population:

As climate change makes some southern and western habitats unsuitable, the UVRRU population will be vital for the species' northward expansion into new, suitable areas. These tortoises are well-adapted to the northeastern edge of their range and will be crucial for spreading into nearby unoccupied habitats.

Genetic Diversity:

The genetic diversity in this tortoise population is essential for adapting to new and changing environmental conditions. This diversity helps ensure the long-term survival of the species by providing the genetic tools needed to cope with changes.

Keystone Species:

The Mojave Desert tortoise is a keystone species, meaning it has a higher influence over the ecosystem than other species. For example, species like the Gila monster, collared peccaries, roadrunners, and burrowing owls use their burrows and benefit from having them around. Protecting tortoises, therefore, supports broader biodiversity and ecosystem stability.



Photo by Cam Mofid

- Wildfires: Wildfires pose a significant threat to tortoise populations. The frequency and intensity of wildfires is increasing in the Red Cliffs regions. Fires are much more likely to start near roads and in areas with urban influences than in undisturbed natural areas. Fire kills tortoises directly and destroys the vegetation that they need to survive.
- Invasive Plants: Invasive plant species, like brome grasses and cheatgrass, provide fuel for fires. The burned areas, in turn, favor the spread of these invasive species. This positive feedback cycle accelerates the decline of habitat by reducing the availability of native food plants and cover for tortoises.

Photo by Larry Cazier

[____

 Roads and Urbanization: Roads block tortoise movements leading to smaller and more isolated patches of tortoises. Roads destroy habitats directly but also degrade them indirectly by enabling people to access places way more easily. With people come more fires, invasive species, garbage (which in turns brings ravens and coyotes that predate on tortoises). Roads also pose a direct threat to tortoises from vehicle strikes. Additionally, roads facilitate human access to tortoise habitats, increasing the risk of poaching and other harmful human activities. • Climate Change and Drought: Climate change exacerbates drought conditions, leading to dehydration and starvation of tortoises, and more fire.



IN CONCLUSION

The UVRRU and Red Cliffs Reserve are critical areas, but they are small and fragmented. The tortoises need large, unfragmented patches of high-quality habitat for genetic diversity and resilience to unpredictable events like fires and droughts.

1. Stop further fragmentation of habitat.

- No more roads!
- We need to ensure critical areas like Zone 3 in the Red Cliffs Reserve remain protected from development, such as the proposed Northern Corridor Highway.

2. Restore degraded habitat areas:

- Remove invasive plants and plant genetically-adapted native plants
- Remove unneeded roads, tracks, and other infrastructure.

3. Protect more habitat

• Provide connectivity to adjacent populations of the tortoise and potential new habitats (resulting from climate change).



Michael Tuma's Full Report: https://conserveswu.org/240618 Tuma MDT UVRRU Conservation Significance/

USFWS Biology Report: https://www.fws.gov/ sites/default/files/documents/ Biological%20Report%20for%20the%20Upper% 20Virgin%20River%20Recovery%20Unit_Januar y%2012%202021%20%282%29.pdf

Information about the proposed Northern Corridor Highway: https://conserveswu.org/ northern-corridor-highway/

RESOURCES











Photos by Cam Mofid



GO TO WWW.PROTECTREDCLIFFS.COM

